

*... every feeling is the perception of the truth... – Gottfried Wilhelm Leibniz*

Can we trust ourselves to correctly identify emotions that come our way and therefore understand the truth? Feeling something can be as demanding as it is for us to admit the truth. Actually, it can be even harder. An emotion is something that isn't willing to correct our mistakes or be there for us just when we need it, unlike the rest of our body and mind, it is completely stubborn and will appear when we least expect it to. Moreover, should we conclude that truth, like the emotion, comes rarely and surprises us with its clearness? And if these two have a certain connection, what is their relationship like? For example, if one does not have moral obligations how can he perceive the truth as right or wrong? Or is it just the case that it is neither?

However, feelings are quite bizarre and according to social standards do appear in a 'morally obligated' environment. Or, how it is commonly called, the society. So, can the truth also be found in the society, even though it is not as high-profiled as its other residents? And can it break free and show its ugly face, due to the fact that the society is consisted of lies?

Ironically, the help it is supposed to get is from the devil itself. If we perceive emotion and its role in the society, it is what glues together all the heavy stitches of it. Take for example, a fear of rejection due to beauty standards. So, our regular person is feeling down and depressed and everything that goes with it. And is the message sent to the individual truthful? It would be that he or she does not fit into the correct frame. So, our person is either gonna see it as good or bad. But in the essence of it, it is again, neither.

How human do we have to be to see it objectively? Or must we just step out of the breach of society for a second? We are, on the other hand, born as individuals and so, we can only see the truth in our natural state, being alone? This does correspond to the nature of truth, ruthless and without any restrictions. So, that is how we must be, in order to see perfectly.

Moreover, if we get rid of the standards of the society we are getting rid of the emotions that come from it too. Without them, we are left with nothing but the truth. So, do we first need the truth to get to the right emotion or does a right emotion take us to truth? I would agree with the second one, because we are, no matter how rational, more likely to trust our senses, and after all, how could one get to an emotion by something so clear, by something that can't be obtained, by something that cannot be measured by virtue or value. And if that is correct, than every truth must have the same extent of nothingness. Isn't that what it creates in us?

Nothingness is the currency for the truth. Always and in every time zone. Truth remains itself, no matter what. So, is that the message for us too? This would mean that both feelings and truths are eternal and always have the same intensity. There are just as many truths as there are emotions. We are consisted of universalities, only if we remain ourselves. So, we are the beings of all and nothing. We are existing now and in the future and the past, if we accept the nothingness that the truth brings us. Can this mean that we alone are a spiritually and mentally self-operating system, which can, like the heart, determine right from wrong without the help of the surrounding?